

**Market Consultation
openFinance API Framework
Implementation Guidelines AIS for Securities**

COMMENTS SHEET V1.00

Distribution: Publicly available

How to use this document:

1. Please fill in your professional details in the section below
2. For each line in the comments sheet, please assign a sequence comment N° (in ascending order)
3. For each line in the comments sheet, please assign a comment ID:
 - T = Technical comment (correction or clarification of a concrete technical requirement defined by the specification standards, not altering or expanding any functional features). Please provide an exact reference of the comment to the location in the applicable document.
 - E = Editorial comment (correction or clarification of a topic description without implying any technical changes. Only the descriptive part of the specification might be affected). Please provide an exact reference of the comment to the location in the applicable document.
 - G = General comment (any other type of comment, which may also include altered or expanded functionality for which a justification must be provided)

Fields of the form which are marked grey must not be completed by the contributor.

Please send your completed comments sheet exclusively by email to: consultation@berlin-group.org until Friday 18 March 2022 (COB).

Date:	22-08-2022
Name of contributor:	
Email of contributor:	
Telephone of contributor:	
Title/Position:	
Organisation:	Feezback
Type of Organisation:	Fintech from Israel
Website URL:	www.feezback.com
Your reference:	

To ensure open and transparent consultation, the Berlin Group may wish to publish the received market feedback on the Berlin Group public website, only mentioning the organisation name and their submitted comments.

Market Consultation
openFinance API Framework
Implementation Guidelines AIS for Securities

COMMENTS SHEET V1.00

Distribution: Publicly available

Document:			
Berlin Group openFinance - Implementation Guidelines AIS for Securities V0.9 CONSULTATION DRAFT 2022-07-01.pdf			
Comment (N° / ID)	Comment/question (when applicable with justification/rationale or reference section/page n°)	Suggested Resolution (alternative)	Agreed Resolution (Berlin Group)
G	Regarding the Securities Transaction endpoint - Currently there is only an attribute of "amountIncludesFees" which indicates whether "transactionAmount" includes fees. We think that there should be a new attribute of "Fees". This attribute should indicate the fees of the transaction separately from the "transactionAmount".	This separation of the Fees from the transaction amount will enable a comparison between the fees rate of different ASPSPs. Therefore, TPPs will be able to offer comparison solutions and the end user will be able to reduce their costs.	BG: Agreed. Fees will be integrated as an optional attribute on transaction level. In addition, the standard will also allow to communicate securities related fee rules under account details.